

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/829,700	09/829,700 04/10/2001		Volker Kuhnel	33495	1771
116	7590	05/17/2006		EXAMINER	
PEARNE			BRINEY III, WALTER F		
	1801 EAST 9TH STREET SUITE 1200				PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELA	ND, OH	44114-3108	2615		
				DATE MAILED: 05/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/829,700	KUHNEL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Walter F. Briney III	2615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Fe	ebruary 2006.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.	,					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-13</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.							
. =	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate ratent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	•					

Application/Control Number: 09/829,700

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishige et al.
 (US Patent 6,094,489).

Claim 1 is limited to " a method for individualizing a hearing aid in adaptation to a loudness perception of an individual." Ishige discloses a digital hearing aid and its hearing sense compensation/processing method. See Abstract. In operation, a gain G is determined based on measured loudness characteristics of a user and known loudness characteristics. Examples of these loudness characteristics are respectively graphed in figure 6 as lines I and N. The slope of line I represents "loudness perception parameters of the individual." The fitting device 31 "measures and quantifies" this information before further processing. See column 9, lines 62-64, and column 10, lines 11-17. The slope of line N represents the "normal loudness perception parameters." In determining the gain G, control section 23, in conjunction with elements 24, 25, 28 and 31, take a difference between the values 'b' and 'a'. See column 10, lines 11-30 and 46-50. Clearly, the gain is then used in "adjusting the hearing aid." It is noted that Ishige fails to discuss a weighted combination as recited, however, as shown below, this deficiency is overcome by an obvious modification.

The difference between 'b' and 'a' is graphically depicted in figure 6. Giving Ishige's disclosure, it is inherent that determining the difference requires calculating the current loudness experienced by a person of normal hearing given the current analyzed

Art Unit: 2615

sound pressure level 'a' and normal loudness curve N. The calculated loudness is then mapped to a required sound pressure level 'b' that maintains normal perceived loudness for a user with a hearing impairment represented by line I. Mathematically applying the nomenclature of Ishige's equations 1, 2 and 3 to the above provides the following:

$$b = Yi^{-1}(Yn(a))$$

$$G = b - a = Yi^{-1}(Yn(a)) - a = (Yn(a) + Oi) / Ai - a = (An*a - On + Oi) / Ai - a.$$

This formula reduces to:

G = a
$$\{An^*\alpha + [Ai^*\beta]^{-1} / a - 1\}$$
, where $\alpha = 1 / Ai$ and $\beta = 1 / (Oi - On)$.

The final form noted above comprises a combination of the "loudness perception parameters of the individual" (An) weighted by "a first factor that is non-zero and non-unitary" (α) and "normal loudness perception parameters" weighted by "a second factor that is different from said first factor and is also non-zero and non-unitary" (β). It is noted that the final form depicted supra is one of many mathematically equivalent functions.

It would have been obvious to of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to implement the abovementioned final form for determining gain and compensation.

The motivation behind such a modification would have been that such a manner of correction would have established a mathematically equivalent compensation function for adjusting the hearing aid, as is evidenced in the above discussion.

Claim 2 is limited in part to "the method as in claim 1," as covered by Ishige. As noted in the previous Non-Final Office Action filed 21 September 2005, Ishige

Art Unit: 2615

determines gain based on the loudness function of a normal person and a user and for each frequency band. Therefore, Ishige makes obvious all limitations of the claim.

Page 4

Allowable Subject Matter

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

2. Claims 3-13 are allowed.

Claims 3-13 are allowed over the cited prior art for at least the same reasons presented in the Non-Final Office Action filed 21 September 2005.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 2, filed 23 February 2006, have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Please note that the new rejection of claim 1 is made to correct certain errors in the previous rejection, specifically a misinterpretation of how Ishige calculates gain (i.e. 'b' – 'a' does not equal the difference between one of equations 3-8 and equation 2).

Conclusion

The new grounds of rejection presented herein were not required by applicant's amendment, and therefore, this action is NON-FINAL.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter F. Briney III whose telephone number is 571-272-7513. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WFB

SINH TRAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER